

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/577,154	KITAZONO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Bruce Kramer. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2011.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed  101  112  102  103  Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6-8.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

On 03 November 2011, a proposed amendment was made to Mr. Kramer, Applicant's representative, to put the claims in condition for allowance. The proposal included amending claim 7 to delete the recitation "R1" and replace it with "R01" and delete the limitation "alkyl group or". It was also proposed to delete the extraneous three dots following the structures of the compounds provided in claims 1, 6 and 8. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Kramer on 03 November 2011.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation, including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/BAHAR SCHMIDTMANN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	
--	--